MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION					
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC					
Requestor's Name and Address SAN ANTONIO ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY CENTER	MDR Tracking No.: M4-04-2821-01				
400 Concord Plaza, Suite 200	TWCC No.:				
San Antonio, TX 78216	Injured Employee's Name:				
Respondent's Name and Address STATE OFFICE OF RISK MANAGEMENT Box 45	Date of Injury:				
PO Box 13777	Employer's Name: State of Texas				
Austin, TX 78711-3777	Insurance Carrier's No.: WC2134401				

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS (Details on Page 2, if needed)

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	Ci i Couc(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
07/02/03	07/02/03	29897-LT	\$4,607.60	\$0.00
07/02/03	07/02/03	27659-59	\$3,744.00	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Rationale for increased reimbursement or refund as indicated on the TWCC-60 states, Not fair and reasonable.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Rationale for maintaining the reduction or denial as indicated on the TWCC-60 states, Paid at SORM's determination of fair and reasonable. Reason for reductions submitted on the Alternate TWCC-62 indicated codes M – No MAR and O – Denial after reconsideration.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does not appear that the requestor nor respondent provided documentation that sufficiently discusses, demonstrates, and justifies their purported billed (charges) or reimbursement amount are a fair and reasonable reimbursement as required by Commission Rule 133.307.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the consensus of other experienced staff members in Medical Review, we find that the requestor is **not entitled to** additional reimbursement for these services.

PART VII: COMMISSION DECISION AND	D ORDER			
Based upon the review of the disputed not entitled to additional reimbursement	healthcare services, the Medical Review Divisiont.	n has determined that the requestor is		
Ordered by:				
		08/09/05		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order		
PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST	A HEARING			
If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. Those who wish to appeal decisions that were issued during the month of August 2005 should be aware of changes to the appeals process, which take effect September 1, 2005.				
House Bill 7, recently enacted by the 79th Texas Legislature, provides that an appeal of a medical dispute resolution order that is not pending for a hearing at the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) on or before August 31, 2005 is not entitled to a SOAH hearing. This means that the usual 20-day window to appeal to SOAH, found in Commission Rule 148.3, will be shortened for some parties during this transition phase. If you wish to seek an appeal of this medical dispute resolution order to SOAH, you are encouraged to have your request for a hearing to the Commission as early as possible to allow sufficient time for the Commission to submit your request to SOAH for docketing. A request for a SOAH hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to 512-804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.				
Beginning September 1, 2005, appeals of medical dispute resolution orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005). An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable.				
Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812				
PART IX: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION				
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.				
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:		